

## GRANTS FOR STATEWIDE, LONGITUDINAL DATA SYSTEMS

CFDA NUMBER: 84.372

RELEASE DATE: June 19, 2019

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS: NCES 19-01

INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION SCIENCES

<http://ies.ed.gov/funding/>

APPLICATION DEADLINE DATE: September 17, 2019

THIS REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

- I. Request for Applications
- II. Technical Assistance for Applicants
- III. Introduction and Background
- IV. Statewide Longitudinal Data System Requirements
- V. SLDS Priorities
- VI. Applications Available
- VII. Mechanism of Support
- VIII. Funding Available
- IX. Eligible Applicants
- X. Special Requirements
- XI. Contents and Page Limits of Application
- XII. Submitting a Letter of Intent
- XIII. Application and Submission Processing
- XIV. Peer Review Process
- XV. Review Criteria
- XVI. Receipt and Review Schedule
- XVII. Award Decisions
- XVIII. Submission Requirements
- XIX. Exception to Electronic Submission Requirement
- XX. Inquiries Address
- XXI. Program Authority
- XXII. Applicable Regulations

### I. REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS

#### I. REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS

The Institute of Education Sciences (Institute) invites State education agencies to apply for grants to assist them in using data in statewide, longitudinal data systems (SLDS) to inform their efforts to improve education in critical areas. Applicants may apply for funds to carry out projects in one of the following data use priorities: 1) Infrastructure; 2) Education Choice; and 3) Equity.

Under any of these priorities, States should consider how their proposals would enhance their ability to use their SLDS to address the needs of at-risk students, including children and youth who are or have been homeless or in the child welfare or juvenile justice systems. Applicants may also indicate an interest in assisting the Department in testing a proposed school-level poverty measure that is based on student addresses instead of participation in free and reduced price meals. States do not have to include information about how they would do this work in the application, but merely may indicate that they are interested in this work.

All States and territories are eligible to apply for this grant.

## II. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR APPLICANTS

The Institute will hold webinars describing the RFA process and to discuss questions pertaining to the RFA. Based on these webinars, the Institute may create a Frequently Asked Questions sheet to assist applicants through the RFA process.

The Institute asks potential applicants to submit a Letter of Intent 60 days prior to the application submission deadline, indicating both whether the State intends to apply for the Grant, and the Priority for which the State expects to apply. Letters of Intent are optional but strongly encouraged by the Institute. If you submit a Letter of Intent, a Program Officer may contact you regarding your proposal. Institute staff uses the information in the letters of intent to identify the expertise needed for the scientific peer-review panels and to secure a sufficient number of reviewers to handle the anticipated number of applications.

## III. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Under the Statewide, Longitudinal Data Systems (SLDS) program, the Secretary of Education is authorized to make competitive grants to State educational agencies to enable them to design, develop, and implement SLDS to efficiently and accurately manage, analyze, disaggregate, and use individual student data. The long-term goal of the program is to enable all States to create comprehensive early learning through workforce (P-20W) data systems that permit the generation and use of accurate and timely data, support analysis and informed decision-making at all levels of the education system, increase the efficiency with which data may be analyzed to support the continuous improvement of education services and outcomes, facilitate research to improve student academic achievement and close achievement gaps, support education accountability systems, and simplify the processes used by State educational agencies to make education data transparent through Federal and public reporting.

Under previous competitions, the Institute awarded SLDS grants to 47 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa. These funds supported SLDS grantees in the design, development, and implementation of statewide longitudinal kindergarten through grade 12 (K-12) data systems, or to expand their K-12 systems to include early childhood data and/or postsecondary and workforce data. Grants awarded also supported the development and implementation of systems that link individual

student data across time and across databases, including the matching of teachers to students; promoting interoperability across institutions, agencies, and States; and protecting student and individual privacy consistent with applicable privacy protection laws.

Because States have been engaged in the process of developing these longitudinal data systems for a number of years, this competition focuses on using data that have already been linked or managed in State data systems. Recognizing that infrastructure conceived more than a decade ago may be increasingly obsolete, States may apply for infrastructure grants in this round. Grants will not be made available to support ongoing maintenance of current data systems, but they may be used to improve existing systems to make more effective use of the data contained in these statewide systems, or to create a system where none previously existed, or a linkage that didn't already exist.

Supplement not supplant. The Educational Technical Assistance Act of 2002 (ETAA) requires that funds made available under this grant program be used to supplement, and not supplant, other State or local funds used for developing State data systems.

#### IV. STATEWIDE LONGITUDINAL DATA SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS

In order to be eligible to receive funds made available pursuant to this competition, States must certify that their implementation of an SLDS has certain, required capabilities. In order to receive funding under this program, applicants must also demonstrate that they meet or will meet the elements described below. Applications must identify which of the following requirements are in place, and if the requirements are not currently being met, describe how the requirements will be developed through the project.

##### *Governance and Policy:*

- **Need and Uses.** In addition to providing information that helps to improve student achievement and reduce achievement gaps among students, a successful data system must provide data and data-use tools that can be used in education decision-making at multiple levels, from classroom instruction to informing policy.
- **Governance.** A successful data system rests upon a governance structure involving State and local stakeholders in the system's design and implementation. Particularly when expanding the data capacity of existing K-12 systems to include other educational data, an SLDS program and project must identify the entities responsible for the operation of the statewide data system and should include a common understanding of data ownership, data management, and data confidentiality and access, as well as the means to resolve differences among partners.
- **Institutional Support.** A successful data system requires institutional support from leadership within the SEA and from relevant stakeholders within and outside the SEA. The support must include authorization to develop and implement the SLDS, as well as the commitment of necessary staff and other resources. If the SLDS is to

be expanded to include data from other systems, all involved institutions must agree to a shared vision for deliverables and objectives.

- Sustainability. A successful data system requires ongoing support from the SEA after it has been implemented. At a minimum, the system requires ongoing commitment of staff and other resources for system maintenance, quality control, and user training.

#### *Technical:*

- Federal Reporting. A successful data system must increase efficiency in meeting Federal reporting requirements, including those of the U.S. Department of Education's (Department) *EDFacts* system. The system should provide efficiencies that reduce the burden of Federal reporting for schools and districts. These efficiencies could be achieved through aligning the elements associated with federal reporting to the Common Education Data Standards and implementing the Generate Tool, a software application that improves data quality and automates reporting for state education agencies (SEAs) through standardization. For additional information, see <https://ciidta.grads360.org/#program/generate>.
- Privacy Protection and Data Accessibility. An SLDS and its associated processes must ensure the confidentiality of student data, consistent with the requirements of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), as well as any other applicable Federal and State laws or regulations concerning the confidentiality of individual records. The system must also include public documentation that clearly articulates what data will be accessible, to which users, and for what purposes.
- Interoperability. The system must use a common set of data elements with common data standards such as the Common Education Data Standards (CEDS, <http://nces.ed.gov/programs/ceds/>), or be mapped to match elements across different data sources, to enable interoperability and comparability of data among programs, and increase efficiency in reporting, as available and applicable. A successful data system has the capacity to exchange data between the SEA and its LEAs, as well as among LEAs, or with other appropriate State agencies or educational entities.
- Data Quality. A successful data system must ensure the integrity, security, and quality of data. It must include an ongoing plan for training those entering or using the data, as well as procedures for monitoring the accuracy of information.
- Enterprise-wide Architecture. A successful SLDS includes an enterprise-wide data architecture that links records across information systems and data records across time and allows for longitudinal analysis of dropout and graduation rates and student achievement growth. The architecture should include, at a minimum, a system for assigning unique student identifiers, a data dictionary, a data model, and business rules. The system must make data dictionaries publicly available.

#### *Data Use:*

- Secure Access. Appropriate and secure access to data must be provided to key stakeholder groups including policymakers, SEA program staff, external

researchers, local administrators, and school or campus educators. Access must be balanced with the need to protect student privacy and confidentiality consistent with applicable privacy protection laws.

- **Data Use Deliverables.** The system must include deliverables to meet end-user needs to inform decision-making and evaluate policies and programs. Design of these deliverables must be informed by early and sustained engagement of representatives from user groups to ensure the system will meet their information current and evolving needs. The system also must include a professional development program both to prepare end-users to effectively use the data to inform decision-making and improve practices.
- **Evaluation.** The system should include a process for evaluating the effectiveness of the data use deliverables, and training and professional development programs.
- **Partnerships with Research Community.** The State must have a policy in place for the processing of requests for data for research purposes and for communicating the scope of data available for analysis. The State should establish partnerships with internal and/or external research groups to assist with answering questions that can inform policy and practice. The State should actively disseminate research and analysis findings to the public while ensuring confidentiality of individual student data.
- **Sustainability Plan.** The system must include a plan for sustaining the deliverables and training beyond the life of the grant.

## V. SLDS PRIORITIES

As stated above, each grant awarded under this competition will fund SLDS work in one of the following Priorities for development and use of an SLDS. For each priority, applicants must identify all data, data sources, and infrastructure involved in the proposed work, and how the resulting products would be used to inform programs and policies. Where new data and/or systems are being brought together in new or existing systems, the proposal must indicate how the data and/or systems will be added to or linked together.

### **Infrastructure**

Applicants seeking funding under this priority must describe how they would use the funds to develop or improve currently existing statewide longitudinal data system infrastructure in the State to improve the linking and use of education data in the State.

States seeking funding under this priority must describe the plans for the infrastructure improvements, and the data that will be linked using the system. At a minimum, States must propose to link at least one data source to currently existing K12 data. Data sources may include early childhood education data, postsecondary data, workforce data, or other non-education data sources, including social services and juvenile justice data. States must also demonstrate how their proposed system will use a common set of data elements with common data standards to allow interoperability and comparability of data among programs such as the Common Education Data Standards

(<http://nces.ed.gov/programs/ceds/>), as available and applicable. States must also indicate how the improved infrastructure improvements will enable the State to provide data to stakeholders and other data users to inform policy and practice.

## **Education Choice**

Applicants seeking funding under this Priority should describe how they would use their data system to support education choice initiatives in their State.

If funds are requested under this Priority, applicants must explain what is meant by education choice in their State and in their application, and how their State data system would be used to support education-choice related initiatives. At a minimum, the data system must contain a process for identifying individual students so that students could be followed across education environments and sectors and enable the State to identify the types of education choice programs being selected by families in their State.

## **Equity**

Applicants seeking funding under this Priority should describe how they would use their data system to examine issues of educational equity in their State. Applicants must describe specific research and policy questions that would be examined under this Priority. Applicants must describe how they would use findings to support efforts to direct investments more effectively to improve student outcomes.

**School-Level Poverty Measure.** Applicants awarded funding under any of the three priorities would also be eligible to receive \$250,000 in additional funding to assist the Department in testing a proposed school-level poverty measure. This new measure is based on student addresses instead of participation in free and reduced price meals. States that elect to participate in this project would need to create a geocoded student address directory and join student geocodes to other geographic information provided by NCES. States opting to participate in this project would use their newly combined information to produce summaries of the existing (e.g. free and reduced price lunch) and proposed poverty measures and share these summaries with the Department. States participating in this activity would always be in control of the geocoded student address directory that they create and no individual student data will be shared outside of the state. States participating in this project would be expected to participate in a series of not more than six webinars per grant year. The first webinar would be used to establish a collaborative project plan for this work and to answer basic questions about expectations for States electing to participate in this work. The remaining webinars would be used for conversations about States' summaries of existing and proposed poverty measures.

States would not have to submit an application for this work, but would be expected to indicate an interest in participating. States are not asked to submit project plans or budgets for this work as part of the current application. Because this scope of work may exceed the work planned under the other priorities of the grant application, States that are awarded grants and elect to participate in this project will receive an additional \$250,000

to complete this work in addition to the amount awarded for the State's priority work. Only States that apply for and are awarded an SLDS Grant in any of the three priorities may also be awarded the additional \$250,000.

#### VI. APPLICATIONS AVAILABLE

Application forms and instructions for the electronic submission of applications will be available for this program no later than June 25, 2019 at the Grants.gov system. Applicants should refer to this site for information about the electronic submission procedures that must be followed and the software that will be required.

#### VII. MECHANISM OF SUPPORT

The Institute intends to award grants in the form of *cooperative agreements*. Applicants should note that *cooperative agreements* allow Federal involvement in the activities undertaken with Federal financial support. The Institute intends to work with grantees to identify best practices in designing and implementing statewide, longitudinal data systems, establish partnerships among States, and disseminate useful products or "lessons learned" through these grants. The specific responsibilities of the Institute and the grantee will be outlined in the cooperative agreement.

#### VIII. FUNDING AVAILABLE

Applicants may request no more than \$3.25 million for one grant priority area (Infrastructure, Education Choice, or Equity). States that agree to participate in the School-Level Poverty project may request no more than \$3.5 million, which includes up to \$3.25 million for the priority area work, and up to \$250,000 for costs associated with the school level poverty measure development and test work. Grants are limited to no more than 48 months.

#### IX. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS

Only State educational agencies are eligible to apply. By law, for this program, the State educational agency is the agency primarily responsible for the State supervision of elementary schools and secondary schools. The State educational agencies of the 50 States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands are eligible.

A State educational agency must propose to work jointly and collaboratively with other agencies in the State. For example, if a State submits an application that proposes an analysis including early childhood education data, it would be expected that the agency capable and responsible for such data would be a partner in the grant application. Despite the requirement that the K-12 State educational agency, as defined above, be the applicant and the fiscal agent for the grant, the design, development, and subsequent

implementation of the grant-funded work must be carried out by the most relevant and capable State agency in partnership with the other participating organizations.

Individual States may also propose to collaborate with other States. Each State educational agency participating in a collaborative should submit its own application for its own activities and funding. If the collaborating States determine that funding for the joint activities cannot be easily and clearly apportioned among them, or that such apportioning would result in inefficiency and higher costs, one State could serve as the fiduciary agent for the joint activities. In that case, funding for the joint activities should be included in the application of the State acting as fiscal agent. If proposing collaboration with other States, the response must also identify any legal or regulatory issues that may prevent there being a successful cross-State collaboration, or provide agreements or laws that demonstrate that the States have agreed that the collaboration and data sharing may proceed.

#### X. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

Applicants should budget for travel and accommodations for two senior project staff to attend a two-day meeting each year in Washington, DC with other grantees and Institute staff to discuss accomplishments, problems encountered, and possible solutions/improvements.

State educational agencies that receive grants must agree to participate in an evaluation of the SLDS program, if the Department decides to conduct such an evaluation. The agreement of a State to participate in such an evaluation would extend to an evaluation conducted after termination of the State's assistance under this program.

In order to leverage the value of work supported through these grants, resulting products would be subject to the Open Licensing Rule ([https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=4c310877c610dd2a9e1a85f7c979602a&mc=true&node=se2.1.3474\\_120&rgn=div8](https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=4c310877c610dd2a9e1a85f7c979602a&mc=true&node=se2.1.3474_120&rgn=div8)). Institute-funded investigators must submit **final manuscripts** resulting from research supported in whole or in part by the Institute to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC, <http://eric.ed.gov>) upon acceptance for publication. An author's final manuscript is defined as the final version accepted for journal publication and includes all graphics and supplemental materials that are associated with the article. The Institute will make the manuscript available to the public through ERIC no later than 12 months after the official date of publication. Investigators and their institutions are responsible for ensuring that any.

Applicants must follow the Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs (published in the *Federal Register* on February 13, 2019 (84 FR 3768) <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/02/13/2019-02206/common-instructions-for-applicants-to-department-of-education-discretionary-grant-programs>).



## XI. CONTENTS AND PAGE LIMITS OF APPLICATION

All applications and proposals must be self-contained. Internet website addresses may not be used to provide information necessary to the review because reviewers will not be able to view Internet sites for application review.

The sections described below (summarized in Table 1) represent the body of applications to be submitted to the Institute and should be organized in the order they appear in the Request for Application (RFA).

As noted above under section *V. Applications Available*, all of the required forms and instructions for the forms will be in the application package to be made available at [www.Grants.gov](http://www.Grants.gov). The application package will also provide specific instructions about where applicants will be able to attach those application sections that must be submitted in PDF (Portable Document Format).

Applicants could indicate which, if any, parts of their application materials they consider to be proprietary and not subject to release under FOIA.

**Table 1. List of proposal sections.**

| Section                                                                                                                    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. <i>Application for Federal Education Assistance (SF 424) (NA)</i>                                                       |
| 2. <i>Department of Education Supplemental Information for SF 424 (NA)</i>                                                 |
| 3. <i>Budget Information Non-Construction Programs (ED 524) – Sections A and B</i>                                         |
| 4. <i>Budget Information Non-Construction Programs (ED 524) – Section C (No page limit)</i>                                |
| 5. <i>Project Abstract (Recommended length: 1 page)</i>                                                                    |
| 6. <i>Project Narrative (Recommended Length: 40 pages)</i>                                                                 |
| 7. <i>Budget Narrative (Justification) (No page limit)</i>                                                                 |
| 8. <i>Data Security and Privacy (No page limit)</i>                                                                        |
| 9. <i>Appendix A – Optional Attachments (No page limit)</i>                                                                |
| 10. <i>Appendix B – Current Status of State’s Longitudinal Data System (Recommended page length: no more than 6 pages)</i> |
| 11. <i>Appendix C -- Documentation Pertaining to Data Security and Privacy (No page limit)</i>                             |
| 12. <i>Appendix C - Letters of Support, MOUs, and Relevant State Legislation or Executive Orders (No page limit)</i>       |
| 13. <i>Appendix D – Résumés of Key Personnel (No page limit)</i>                                                           |
| 14. <i>Appendix E–Acronym List (No page limit)</i>                                                                         |

1. *Application for Federal Education Assistance (SF 424)*. Applicants must use this form to provide basic information about the applicant and the application.

2. *Department of Education Supplemental Information for SF 424.* Applicants must use this form to provide contact information for the Project Director and research on human subjects information. The Department has determined that the collection, maintenance, use of individual level records within typical SLDS projects is considered human subjects research. This form asks you to provide information about any research that will be conducted involving Human Subjects, including: (a) whether human subjects are involved; (b) if human subjects are involved, whether or not the project is exempt from the human subjects regulations; (c) if the project is exempt from the regulations, an indication of the exemption number(s); and, (d) if the project is not exempt from the regulations, Human Subject Assurance number which has been assigned.

- A. Are Human Subjects Involved? If activities involving human subjects are planned at any time during the proposed project at any performance site or collaborating institution, you must check “Yes.” (You must check “Yes” even if the proposed project is exempt from Regulations for the Protection of Human Subjects.) If there are no activities involving human subjects planned at any time during the proposed project at any performance site or collaborating institution, you may check “No” and skip the remaining items in this section.
- B. Is the Project Exempt from Federal Regulations? It has been determined that the use of individual level data within an SLDS requires ongoing monitoring for human subjects protection and therefore cannot be considered “exempt”. Please mark this item as “no” and provide the assurance number. You must also attach a copy of the Institutional Review Board Approval and a copy of the Non-exempt Research on Human Subjects Narrative to this form. The Non-exempt Research on Human Subjects Narrative should describe the following: the characteristics of the subject population; the data to be collected from human subjects; recruitment and consent procedures (if applicable); any potential risks; planned procedures for protecting against or minimizing potential risks; the importance of the knowledge to be gained relative to potential risks. If an Institutional Review Board Approval is pending, please indicate provide an estimate of when the Approval will be completed within the narrative. If the project has not yet been submitted to an Institutional Review Board for approval please indicate this. The U.S. Department of Education does not require certification of Institutional Review Board approval at the time you submit your application. However, your application is recommended/selected for funding, the designated U.S. Department of Education official will request that you obtain and send the certification of the Institutional Review Board approval to the Department within 30 days after the formal request.

3. *Budget Information Non-Construction Programs (ED 524)—Sections A and B.* The application must include a budget for each year of support requested. Applicants must use this form to provide the budget information for each project year. (Note: ED 524 Section A is for Federal sources of funding being requested in the grant application. ED 524 Section B identifies non-Federal sources such as State funding or foundational funding, which will contribute to the proposed work).

4. *Budget Information Non-Construction Programs (ED 524)—Section C.* The application must provide an itemized budget breakdown for each project year, for each budget category listed in *Sections A and B* (Federal and non-Federal, respectively).

The budget breakdown by project year and category must provide sufficient detail to allow reviewers to judge whether reasonable costs have been attributed to the project:

- For each person listed in the Personnel category, include the time commitments, including an indication of the percentage of full-time equivalent (FTE) personnel by project year and corresponding cost.
- For consultants include the number of days of anticipated consultation, the expected rate of compensation, travel, per diem, and other related costs.
- For applications that include contracts for work, submit an itemized budget spreadsheet for each contract for each project year, and the details of the contract costs should be included in the budget narrative. It is understood that some level of detail may not be provided due to overall timing of the process (i.e. contracts cannot be articulated unless grants have been awarded).
- Itemized costs for equipment purchases, supplies, travel, and other related project costs should also be provided.
- Any other expenses should be itemized by category (Personnel, Fringe, etc.) and unit cost.

The budget must also be organized around the specific outcomes listed in 6. *b) Project Outcomes*, with a projected cost total for each outcome. If, for example, an applicant proposes six outcomes for funding, each outcome must include an estimated total cost. In this example, the total cost for these six outcomes must equal the total requested amount for this application in 4. *Budget Information – Non-Construction Programs (ED 524) – Section A*. If staffing or equipment will be utilized to support multiple project outcomes, the applicant should either a) divide the costs of the resource among the relevant outcomes, or b) assign the total cost of the resource to one outcome but provide explanation of how that resource will also be utilized to support other outcomes in X: 7. *Budget Narrative (Justification)*.

All information provided should be displayed as a spreadsheet and should directly correspond to the written description provided in section X: 7. *Budget Narrative (Justification)*.

5. *Project Abstract.* The *Project Abstract* must include: (1) The title of the project, (2) the Priority for which funding is requested, (3a) the name(s) of the agency responsible for the direction and implementation of the grant, (3b) the names of collaborating States if the State proposes to participate in a multi-State collaboration, (4) a short description of the project, including goals and major activities, and (5) the expected outcomes of the project. The recommended length for the *Project Abstract* is one page.

6. *Project Narrative*. This section provides the majority of the information on which reviewers will evaluate the application. The narrative should describe the State's current SLDS and how the applicant proposes to use data from the SLDS to inform and improve programs and policies with respect to the Priority for which funding is requested as described in section V. As applicable, the applicant should address how the State either meets or proposes to make progress toward incorporating each of the capabilities and requirements outlined in section IV. *Statewide Longitudinal Data System Requirements*. The project narrative should also describe how applicant plans to implement the project and sustain the outcomes of the project beyond the end of the grant.

The narrative should be set out in six sections – (a) through (f) as described here – to facilitate reviewers' application of the five review criteria described in section XII. *Review Criteria*.

(a) Need for Project

Briefly summarize the current status of the SLDS and how these capabilities and key elements will support the State's education improvement efforts, goals, and accountability system. Briefly describe the current capacity in the State to use data in the SLDS to support improvement efforts and the unmet needs that will be addressed through the grant. Any training or technical assistance needs that will be addressed through the grant should also be described here.

In summarizing the current status of the State's system, refer to the Requirements outlined in section IV. *Statewide Longitudinal Data System Requirements*. Identify each requirement and indicate whether work on each is a) completed, b) currently in progress, or c) has not begun. With regard to the Requirements for the Priority for which funding is requested, specify whether any current resources (especially grants from the Institute) are being used for design or development of the element. Display this information in chart format and attach as *Appendix B*.

(b) Project Outcomes

For each Priority that the applicant is proposing to address, describe proposed outcomes (such as analyses, tools, and research and evaluation) that will be supported through this grant. For each of these outcomes, include explanatory discussion of how the applicant will accomplish the goals (e.g., practical matters such as stakeholder involvement, collaboration with other agencies in the State, technical and organizational challenges to be overcome, or other relevant information). A proposed outcome should represent completion or substantial progress toward completion of the requirement.

Outcomes must be expressed as products (example: develop web portal), features (example: form P-20 governance structure), or benchmarks (example:

integration and analysis of school-level finance data) that can be measured at the end of the grant period.

If the State proposes to participate in a multi-State collaboration, clearly identify the extent to which CEDS will be employed to facilitate the collaboration.

(c) Timeline for Project Outcomes

Briefly describe how the outcomes of the project will be achieved. The applicant may determine the format for the timeline, but the timeline must include all proposed outcomes for the project, a set of supporting events or tasks for each of the proposed outcomes, the party or parties responsible for the events or tasks, and estimated dates (month can be used) for both initiation and completion of each task.

If applicable, describe how activities supported by a grant funded by this competition will be coordinated with activities supported by an existing grant, including a State Workforce Data Quality Initiative or other grants administered by the US Department of Labor,<sup>1</sup> if applicable. In particular, please make certain to address plans for avoiding duplication.

(d) Project Management and Governance Plan

Indicate where the project will be located within the organizational structure of the State educational agency and other appropriate State agencies and identify the entities responsible for approval and oversight of project activities. Describe the management protocol that will be exercised in order to achieve the goals of the proposed project on time and within budget. In describing this protocol and the related control activities, refer to the timeline and activities described above.

Briefly describe the governance structure for the proposed project. Identify the organizational units that will have authority regarding the project, that will be responsible for the project's operation, and that will be responsible for the subsequent operation of the statewide data system. Identify any units or agencies that will work as partners in the project, and describe how the project proposes to include other relevant State and local stakeholders. Describe how such partnerships or other working agreements will be coordinated and funded. Describe partnerships that will support implementation activities (i.e., training and technical assistance for users) and how those will be funded. Specify how the input of all intended users of the system (e.g., educators, ECE leaders, State policymakers, etc.) will be obtained and utilized.

---

<sup>1</sup> For more information about the Workforce Data Quality Initiative, see <http://www.doleta.gov/performance/workforcedatagrants09.cfm>

Include as *Appendix C* letters of support or other documentation, such as MOUs or MOAs that are evidence of the anticipated participation and coordination by all agencies or institutions that will be partners in the project (e.g., letters of support from postsecondary institution leaders, the Governor, the chief State school officer, etc.), as well as copies of relevant executive orders or legislation that describe the authority or relationships among the partners relative to this type of work.

If a multi-State collaboration is proposed, explain how it will be managed and what steps the State will take to mitigate risk and ensure that the project achieves its intended outcomes. Also identify any legal or regulatory issues that may prevent there being a successful cross-State collaboration, or provide agreements or laws that demonstrate that the States have agreed that the collaborate and data sharing may proceed. Please describe to what degree CEDS may be employed to facilitate the multi-State collaboration.

(e) Staffing

Discuss how the project will be staffed and managed. Describe the specific roles, responsibilities, and time commitments of the individuals involved with the project; this information should complement the information provided in *(d) Project Management and Governance Plan*. This section can refer to the résumés of key personnel included in *Appendix D*, to demonstrate that the proposed staff has needed qualifications, but the section should also provide specific information to describe how the key personnel are qualified to manage and implement the proposed activities.

(f) Data Security and Privacy

Applicant should explain how the SLDS system will ensure the confidentiality of student data, consistent with the requirements of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), as well as any other applicable Federal and State laws or regulations concerning the confidentiality of individual records, and how the system will include public documentation that clearly articulates what data will be accessible, to which users, and for what purposes.

It is recommended that the *Project Narrative* be single-spaced and at least 12 point font to ensure that reviewers can easily read the applications. It is also recommended that pages be 8.5 x 11 inches, on one side only, with 1 inch margins at the top, bottom, and both sides. The recommended length of the Project Narrative is no more than 40 pages.

Use only black and white in graphs, diagrams, tables, and charts. It is recommended that the application contain only material that reproduces well when photocopied in black and white. Color graphs, diagrams, tables, and charts are discouraged for this reason.

*7. The Budget Narrative (Justification).* This justification narrative should correspond to the itemized breakdown of Federal and non-Federal project costs by project year that applicants are asked to provide in a spreadsheet format. See above, *4. Budget Information – Non-Construction Programs (ED 524) – Section C.*

The budget justification should be organized around the specific outcomes listed in *6. b) Project Outcomes*. A projected cost should be shown for each outcome. If, for example, an applicant proposes six outcomes for funding, each outcome must include an estimated total cost and budget justification. In this example, the total cost for these six outcomes must equal the total requested amount for this application in *4. Budget Information – Non-Construction Programs (ED 524) – Section A*. If staffing or equipment will be utilized to support multiple project outcomes, the applicant should either a) divide the costs of the resource among the relevant outcomes, or b) assign the total cost of the resource to one outcome but provide explanation of how that resource will also be utilized to support other outcomes.

The *Budget Narrative* must provide sufficient detail to allow reviewers to judge whether reasonable costs have been attributed to the project:

- For each person listed in the Personnel category, include the time commitments, including an indication of the percentage of FTE by project year and brief descriptions of the responsibilities of key personnel.
- For consultants include justification for the number of days of anticipated consultation, the expected rate of compensation, travel, per diem, and other related costs.
- For applications that include contracts for work, include justifications for each contract for each project year and the details of the contract costs. It is understood that some level of detail may not be provided due to overall timing of the process (i.e. contracts cannot be articulated unless grants have been awarded).
- Justifications for the itemized costs for equipment purchases, supplies, travel, and other related project costs should also be provided.
- Brief descriptions of any other expenses itemized by category (Personnel, Fringe, etc.) and unit cost.

A page limit does not apply to this section.

*8. Appendix A – Optional Attachments.* In *Appendix A* of the proposal, applicants should include any figures, charts, tables, or images that supplement section *XI. 6. Project Narrative* (example: illustration of current system, or planned system or system component). The recommended length for this section is no more than 15 pages.

*9. Appendix B – Current Status of State’s Longitudinal Data System.* The chart described in section *X. 6. Project Narrative* should be provided. The chart should include three columns that:

1) identify the *Grant* that are set out in section IV. *Statewide, Longitudinal Data System Requirements*;

2) identify the current status of each requirement as either a) completed, b) currently in progress, or c) has not begun; and

3) describe the current status of each requirement.

*The recommended page length for this section is no more than 6 pages.*

*10. Appendix C – Documentation pertaining to Data Security and Privacy.* In *Appendix C* of the proposal, applicants should include copies of sections of State laws and regulations concerning the confidentiality of individual records. It should also include any currently existing policies related to data security and privacy. These may include but are not limited to:

- Data security policies
- Staff access policies
- Acceptable use policies
- Associated State IT security policies
- Researcher access agreements/policies
- Data sharing agreements/policies
- Data flow documentation (planned and/or implemented)
- Data Governance policies
- Organizational charts showing where the project fits into the organizational structure

*11. Appendix D – Evidence of Coordination and Support.* In this appendix, applicants should provide letters of support or other documentation that are evidence of the anticipated participation and coordination by all agencies or institutions that will be partners in the project. Such evidence of support can also include key letters of agreement (e.g., memoranda of understanding) from partners and consultants, as well as copies of relevant executive orders or legislation that describe the authority or relationships among the partners relative to this type of work. Letters of agreement should include enough information to make it clear that the author of the letter understands the nature of the commitment of time, space, and resources to the project that will be required if the application is funded. There is no recommended page length for this section.

*12. Appendix E –Résumés of Key Personnel.* Abbreviated résumés should be provided for the project director and other key personnel. Each résumé should include information sufficient to demonstrate that personnel possess training and expertise commensurate with their duties. The résumés must adhere to the margin and format requirements described above in the section XI. 6. *Project Narrative*.

*13. Appendix F–Acronym List.* Combined, alphabetical list of all acronyms used in application. There is no recommended page length for this section.

Please note that applicants selected for funding will be required to submit the certifications and assurances noted below before a grant is issued. The electronic



application will provide these forms so that applicants can complete and submit them with their applications.

- (a) SF 424B Assurances-Non-Construction Programs
- (b) ED 80-0013 Certification Regarding Lobbying
- (c) SF LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities, if applicable

## XII. SUBMITTING A LETTER OF INTENT

The Institute strongly encourages potential applicants to submit a Letter of Intent 60 days before application due date. Letters of intent are optional, non-binding, and not used in the scientific peer review of a subsequent application. However, when you submit a Letter of Intent, one of the Institute's Program Officers will contact you regarding your proposed research to offer assistance. The Institute also uses the Letter of Intent to identify the expertise needed for the scientific peer review panels and to secure a sufficient number of reviewers to handle the anticipated number of applications. Should you miss the deadline for submitting Letter of Intent, you still may submit an application. If you miss the Letter of Intent deadline, the Institute asks that you inform the relevant Program Officer of your intention to submit an application.

Letters of Intent are submitted on line at <https://iesreview.ed.gov>. Select the Letter of Intent form for the topic under which you plan to submit your application. The online submission form contains fields for each of the seven content areas listed below. Use these fields to provide the requested information. The project description should be single-spaced and is recommended to be no more than one page (about 3,500 characters).

- Descriptive title
- Topic and goals that you will address
- Brief description of the proposed project
- Name and institutional affiliation of any key collaborators and contractors
- Duration of the proposed project
- Estimated total budget request

## XIII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION AND PROCESSING

Applications must be completely received by 11:59:59 p.m., Washington, DC time, on the application deadline date listed in the heading of this request for applications. The Grants.gov system will not accept an application for this competition that finishes transmission after 11:59:59 p.m., Washington, DC time, on the application deadline date. Therefore, the Department strongly recommends that you do not wait until the application deadline date to begin the application process. Please note that this application process includes submission of a number of attachments. You may be submitting your application at the same time as several other States which may affect how quickly the system accepts all of your documents. You are strongly encouraged to allow adequate time for this part of the process.

Each application that is received on time will be reviewed for completeness and for responsiveness to this request for applications.

#### XIV. PEER REVIEW PROCESS

All applications that are complete and responsive to this request will be evaluated and rated by peer reviewers. A panel of technical experts who have substantive and methodological expertise appropriate to the design, development, implementation, and utilization of statewide, longitudinal data systems will conduct reviews in accordance with the review stated below.

Each application will be assigned to at least two primary reviewers, who will complete written evaluations of the application, identifying strengths and weaknesses related to each of the review criteria. Primary reviewers will independently assign a score for each criterion, as well as an overall score, for each application they review. At the full panel meeting, each application will be presented to the panel by the primary reviewers. After discussion of the application's strengths and weaknesses, each panel member will independently assign a score for each criterion, as well as an overall score.

#### XV. REVIEW CRITERIA

Reviewers will be expected to evaluate the application on the basis of the following criteria:

- (1) Substantial need for the project. The application clearly describes the status of the State's longitudinal data system and demonstrates that the State lacks one or more requirements. It provides a convincing case that the project is necessary to accelerate the State's capacity to use data from its Statewide Longitudinal Data System to make informed decisions regarding education-related policy and practice. Failure to meet the goals outlined for the project would seriously threaten or impede significant State progress toward using State data to drive improved outcomes for students.
- (2) Clear goals and appropriate and measurable outcomes. The goals of the project are clearly articulated and demonstrate a commitment to creating a robust system, which includes data access and usage, that meets the Priority-specific requirements, and supports transparency, accountability and improvement. Proposed outcomes relate directly and logically to the stated needs with respect to the State's data use goals. The application clearly describes measurable or observable outcomes that will be accomplished by the end of the grant. These outcomes will represent completion or substantial progress toward completion of the requirements described in section IV, as well as appropriate attention to promoting effective use of the system described in section V. If the required system capabilities cannot be accomplished during the grant, the application provides a compelling explanation and indicates when each of those capabilities will be accomplished.
- (3) High-quality, logical, and feasible activities and timeline. The project activities are reasonable and well designed to achieve project goals.

Proposed collaborations will promote efficiency. The timeline clearly describes work that logically will lead to accomplishment of the proposed outcomes. The work appears feasible in terms of the State's current status as described in section *XI. 6 (a) Need for the Project*, and the time and resources available for the project.

- (4) Effective management and governance plan. The management plan for the project demonstrates that there will be sufficient administrative oversight and controls to enable the work to proceed on time, as planned, and within budget. If applicable, the governance plan describes an active partnership between K-12 and early childhood or higher education agencies and with other agencies and institutions responsible for data to be included in the statewide data system, as well as the involvement of appropriate parties to promote use of the system to support reform and accountability. In particular, the plans describe any new staffing required to provide useful data back to school districts, schools, and teachers.
- (5) Data Security and Privacy awareness. The project is aware of the State and Federal laws and policies regarding data security and privacy and State policies. The project also indicates efforts to follow State and Federal laws and regulations pertaining to data security and privacy.
- (6) Personnel and financial resources. The project personnel have the qualifications and time commitment needed to implement the project within the proposed project period. If personnel will be hired or contracted for the project, the qualifications and duties of these new hires or contractors are clearly described. The proposed budget and budget justification are reasonable in terms of the activities to be carried out and commensurate with the proposed outcomes and goals of the project.

#### XVI. RECEIPT AND REVIEW SCHEDULE

Application Deadline Date and Time: September 17, 2019 11:59:59 p.m., Washington, DC time

Earliest Anticipated Start Date: December 1, 2019

#### XVII. AWARD DECISIONS

The following will be considered in making award decisions:

1. Overall merit of the proposal, as determined by the peer review;
2. Responsiveness to the requirements of this Request for Applications;
3. Prior funding under this program and stage of development of State's system;
4. Performance and use of funds under previous Federal awards; and
5. Funding available.

#### XVIII. SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

##### **A. MANDATORY ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS AND DEADLINE**

Applications must be submitted electronically through the Internet using the software and application package provided on the Grants.gov web site: <http://www.grants.gov/>. Applications must be received (fully uploaded and processed by Grants.gov) no later than 11:59:59 pm Washington, DC time on September 17, 2019. Applications received by Grants.gov after the 11:59:59 pm application deadline will be considered late and will not be sent forward for scientific peer review. Any questions that you may have about electronic submission via Grants.gov should first be addressed to the Grants.gov Contact Center at [support@grants.gov](mailto:support@grants.gov), <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/about/contact-us.html>, or call 1-800-518-4726.

Additional help with submitting an application electronically through the Grants.gov website is available at <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/applicant-resources.html>.

Electronic submission is required unless you qualify for one of the exceptions to the electronic submission requirement and submit, no later than 2 weeks before the application deadline date, a written statement to the Department that you qualify for one of these exceptions. A description of the Allowable Exceptions to Electronic Submissions is provided at the end of this document.

Please consider submitting your application ahead of the deadline date (the Institute recommends 3 to 4 days in advance of the closing date and time) to avoid running the risk of a late submission that will not be reviewed. The Institute does not accept late applications.

## **B. REGISTER ON GRANTS.GOV**

To submit an application through Grants.gov, your institution must be registered with Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html>).

To facilitate your use of Grants.gov, this section includes important submission procedures you need to be aware of to ensure your application is received in a timely manner and accepted by the Department of Education.

### **Browser Support**

The latest versions of Microsoft Internet Explorer (IE), Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome, and Apple Safari are supported for use with Grants.gov. However, these web browsers undergo frequent changes and updates, so we recommend you have the latest version when using Grants.gov. Legacy versions of these web browsers may be functional, but you may experience issues.

For additional information or updates, please see the Grants.gov Browser information in the Applicant FAQs: <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/applicant-faqs.html#browser>

## **ATTENTION – Workspace, Adobe Forms and PDF Files**

Grants.gov applicants can apply online using Workspace. Workspace is a shared, online environment where members of a grant team may simultaneously access and edit different web forms within an application. For each funding opportunity announcement (FOA), you can create individual instances of a workspace.

Below is an overview of applying on Grants.gov. For access to complete instructions on how to apply for opportunities, refer to:

<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/workspace-overview.html>

- 1) **Create a Workspace:** Creating a workspace allows you to complete it online and route it through your organization for review before submitting.
- 2) **Complete a Workspace:** Add participants to the workspace to work on the application together, complete all the required forms online or by downloading PDF versions, and check for errors before submission. The Workspace progress bar will display the state of your application process as you apply. As you apply using Workspace, you may click the blue question mark icon near the upper-right corner of each page to access context-sensitive help.

a. **Adobe Reader:** If you decide not to apply by filling out web forms you can download individual PDF forms in Workspace. The individual PDF forms can be downloaded and saved to your local device storage, network drive(s), or external drives, then accessed through Adobe Reader.

NOTE: Visit the Adobe Software Compatibility page on Grants.gov to download the appropriate version of the software at:

<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/adobe-software-compatibility.html>

b. **Mandatory Fields in Forms:** In the forms, you will note fields marked with an asterisk and a different background color. These fields are mandatory fields that must be completed to successfully submit your application.

c. **Complete SF-424 Fields First:** The forms are designed to fill in common required fields across other forms, such as the applicant name, address, and DUNS Number. Once it is completed, the information will transfer to the other forms.

- 3) **Submit a Workspace:** An application may be submitted through workspace by clicking the Sign and Submit button on the Manage Workspace page, under the Forms tab. Grants.gov recommends submitting your application package at least 24-48 hours prior to the close date to provide you with time to correct any potential technical issues that may disrupt the application submission.
- 4) **Track a Workspace Submission:** After successfully submitting a workspace application, a Grants.gov Tracking Number (GRANTXXXXXXXX) is automatically assigned to the application. The number will be listed on the Confirmation page that

is generated after submission. Using the tracking number, access the Track My Application page under the Applicants tab or the Details tab in the submitted workspace.

For additional training resources, including video tutorials, refer to <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/applicant-training.html>

## Helpful Reminders

- 1) **REGISTER EARLY** – Grants.gov registration involves many steps including registration on SAM ([www.sam.gov](http://www.sam.gov)) which may take approximately one week to complete, but could take upwards of several weeks to complete, depending upon the completeness and accuracy of the data entered into the SAM database by an applicant. You may begin working on your application while completing the registration process, but you cannot submit an application until all of the Registration steps are complete. Please note that once your SAM registration is active, it will take 24-48 hours for the information to be available in Grants.gov, and before you can submit an application through Grants.gov. For detailed information on the Registration Steps, please go to: <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html> [Note: Your organization will need to update its SAM registration annually.]

Primary information about SAM is available at [www.sam.gov](http://www.sam.gov). However, to further assist you with obtaining and registering your DUNS number and TIN in SAM or updating your existing SAM account the Department of Education has prepared a SAM.gov Tip Sheet which you can find at: <http://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/sam-faqs.html>

- 2) **SUBMIT EARLY** – We strongly recommend that you do not wait until the last day to submit your application. Grants.gov will put a date/time stamp on your application and then process it after it is fully uploaded. The time it takes to upload an application will vary depending on a number of factors including the size of the application and the speed of your Internet connection, and the time it takes Grants.gov to process the application will vary as well. If Grants.gov rejects your application (see step three below), you will need to resubmit successfully to Grants.gov before 11:59:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the deadline date.

**Note:** To submit successfully, you must provide the DUNS number on your application that was used when you registered as an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) on Grants.gov. This DUNS number is typically the same number used when your organization registered with the SAM. If you do not enter the same DUNS number on your application as the DUNS you registered with, Grants.gov will reject your application.

- 3) **VERIFY SUBMISSION IS OK** – You will want to verify that Grants.gov received your application submission on time and that it was validated successfully. To see the date/time your application was received, login to Grants.gov and click on the Track

My Application link. For a successful submission, the date/time received should be earlier than 11:59:59 p.m. Eastern Time, on the deadline date, AND the application status should be: Validated, Received by Agency, or Agency Tracking Number Assigned. Once the Department of Education receives your application from Grants.gov, an Agency Tracking Number (PR/award number) will be assigned to your application and will be available for viewing on Grants.gov's Track My Application link.

If the date/time received is later than 11:59:59 p.m. Eastern Time, on the deadline date, your application is late. If your application has a status of "Received" it is still awaiting validation by Grants.gov. Once validation is complete, the status will either change to "Validated" or "Rejected with Errors." If the status is "Rejected with Errors," your application has not been received successfully. Some of the reasons Grants.gov may reject an application can be found on the Grants.gov site: <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/encountering-error-messages.html>. For more detailed information on troubleshooting Adobe errors, you can review the Adobe Reader Software Tip Sheet at: <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/adobe-software-compatibility.html>. If you discover your application is late or has been rejected, please see the instructions below. Note: You will receive a series of confirmations both online and via e-mail about the status of your application. Please do not rely solely on e-mail to confirm whether your application has been received timely and validated successfully.

### **Submission Problems – What should you do?**

If you have problems submitting to Grants.gov before the closing date, please contact Grants.gov Customer Support at 1-800-518-4726 or email at: <mailto:support@grants.gov> or access the Grants.gov Self-Service Knowledge Base web portal at: <https://grants-portal.psc.gov/Welcome.aspx?pt=Grants>

If electronic submission is required, you must submit an electronic application before 11:59:59 p.m. Eastern Time, unless you follow the procedures in the Federal Register notice and qualify for one of the exceptions to the electronic submission requirement and submit, no later than two weeks before the application deadline date, a written statement to the Department that you qualify for one of these exceptions. If electronic submission is optional and you have problems that you are unable to resolve before the deadline date and time for electronic applications, please follow the transmittal instructions for hard copy applications in the Federal Register notice and get a hard copy application postmarked by midnight on the deadline date. (See the Federal Register notice for detailed instructions.)

### **Helpful Hints When Working with Grants.gov**

Please go to <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html> for help with Grants.gov. For additional tips related to submitting grant applications, please refer to the Grants.gov

Applicant FAQs found at this Grants.gov link: <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/applicant-faqs.html> as well as additional information on Workspace at <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/applicant-faqs.html#workspace>.

### **Dial-Up Internet Connections**

When using a dial up connection to upload and submit your application, it can take significantly longer than when you are connected to the Internet with a high-speed connection, e.g. cable modem/DSL/T1. While times will vary depending upon the size of your application, it can take a few minutes to a few hours to complete your grant submission using a dial up connection. **If you do not have access to a high-speed connection and electronic submission is required, you may want to consider following the instructions in the Federal Register notice to obtain an exception to the electronic submission requirement no later than two weeks before the application deadline date.** (See the Federal Register notice for detailed instructions.)

### **Attaching Files – Additional Tips**

Please note the following tips related to attaching files to your application:

- When you submit your application electronically, you must upload any narrative sections and all other attachments to your application as files in either Portable Document Format (PDF) or Microsoft Word. Although applicants have the option of uploading any narrative sections and all other attachments to their application in either PDF or Microsoft Word, we **recommend** applicants submit all documents as read-only flattened PDFs, meaning any fillable PDF files must be saved and submitted as non-fillable PDF files and not as interactive or fillable PDF files, to better ensure applications are processed in a more timely, accurate, and efficient manner.
- Grants.gov cannot process an application that includes two or more files that have the same name within a grant submission. Therefore, each file uploaded to your application package should have a unique file name.
- When attaching files, applicants should follow the guidelines established by Grants.gov on the size and content of file names. Uploaded file names must be fewer than 50 characters, and, in general, applicants should not use any special characters. However, Grants.gov does allow for the following UTF-8 characters when naming your attachments: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, period, parenthesis, curly braces, square brackets, ampersand, tilde, exclamation point, comma, semi colon, apostrophe, at sign, number sign, dollar sign, percent sign, plus sign, and equal sign. Applications submitted that do not comply with the Grants.gov guidelines will be rejected at Grants.gov and not forwarded to the Department.
- Applicants should limit the size of their file attachments. Documents submitted that contain graphics and/or scanned material often greatly increase the size of the file attachments and can result in difficulties opening the files. For



reference, the average discretionary grant application package with all attachments is less than 5 MB. Therefore, you may want to check the total size of your package before submission.

#### XIX. EXCEPTION TO ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT

The Department will reject your application if you submit it in paper format unless, as described here, you qualify for one of the exceptions to the electronic submission requirement and submit, no later than two weeks before the application deadline date, a written statement to the Department that you qualify for one of these exceptions. Further information regarding calculation of the date that is two weeks before the application deadline date is provided below.

You may qualify for an exception to the electronic submission requirement and submit an application in paper format if you are unable to submit the application through the Grants.gov system because: (a) you do not have access to the Internet; or (b) you do not have the capacity to upload large documents to the Grants.gov system; and (c) no later than 2 weeks before the application deadline date (14 calendar days or, if the fourteenth calendar date before the application deadline date falls on a Federal holiday, the next business day following the Federal holiday), you mail or fax a written statement to the Institute explaining which of the two grounds for an exception prevents you from using the Internet to submit the application. If you mail the written statement to the Institute, it must be postmarked no later than 2 weeks before the application deadline date. If you fax the written statement to the Institute, the faxed statement must be received no later than 2 weeks before the application deadline date. The written statement should be addressed and mailed or faxed to:

Ellie Pelaez, Office of Administration and Policy  
Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education  
550 12th Street, S.W., Potomac Center Plaza - Room 4126  
Washington, DC 20202  
Fax: 202-245-6752

If you request and qualify for an exception to the electronic submission requirement you may submit an application via mail, commercial carrier or hand delivery. To submit an application by mail, mail the original and two copies of the application on or before the deadline date to:

U.S. Department of Education  
Application Control Center  
Attention: CFDA# (84.372A)  
LBJ Basement Level 1  
400 Maryland Avenue, SW  
Washington, DC 20202-4260

You must show one of the following as proof of mailing: (a) a legibly dated U.S. Postal Service Postmark; (b) a legible mail receipt with the date of mailing stamped by the U.S. Postal Service; (c) a dated shipping label, invoice, or receipt from a commercial carrier; or (d) any other proof of mailing acceptable to the U.S. Secretary of Education (a private metered postmark or a mail receipt that is not dated by the U.S. Postal Services will not be accepted by the Institute). Note that the U.S. Postal Service does not uniformly provide a dated postmark. Before relying on this method, you should check with your local post office. If your application is postmarked after the application deadline date, the Institute will not consider your application. The Application Control Center will mail you a notification of receipt of the grant application. If this notification is not received within 15 business days from the application deadline date, call the U.S. Department of Education Application Control Center at (202) 245-6288.

To submit an application by hand, you or your courier must hand deliver the original and two copies of the application by 4:30:00 p.m. (Washington, DC time) on or before the deadline date to:

U.S. Department of Education  
Application Control Center  
Attention: CFDA# (84.372A)  
550 12th Street, S.W.  
Potomac Center Plaza - Room 7039  
Washington, DC 20202 – 4260

The Application Control Center accepts application deliveries daily between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (Washington, DC time), except Saturdays, Sundays and Federal holidays.

#### XX. INQUIRIES ADDRESS

Dr. Nancy Sharkey  
Institute of Education Sciences  
National Center for Education Statistics  
550 12th Street, SW, Room 4162 Washington, DC 20202  
Email: Nancy.Sharkey@ed.gov  
Telephone: (202) 245-7689

#### XXI. PROGRAM AUTHORITY

20 U.S.C. 9607 et seq., the “Educational Technical Assistance Act of 2002,” Title II of Public Law 107-279, November 5, 2002. This program is not subject to the intergovernmental review requirements of Executive Order 12372.

#### XXII. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 77, 82, 84, 97, 98, and 99. The OMB Guidelines to Agencies on Governmentwide

Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) in 2 CFR part 180, as adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2 CFR part 3485, and the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards in 2 CFR part 200, as adopted and amended in 2 CFR part 3474. In addition, the regulations in 34 CFR part 75 are applicable, except for the provisions in 34 CFR 75.100, 75.101(b), 75.102, 75.103, 75.105, 75.109(a), 75.200, 75.201, 75.209, 75.210, 75.211, 75.217(a)-(c), 75.219, 75.220, 75.221, 75.222, and 75.230.