

NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD

THIS FUNDING ANNOUNCEMENT IS NOT A REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS. This announcement is to provide public notice of Reclamation's intention to fund the following project activities without full and open competition.

ABSTRACT	
Funding Announcement	R10AP20088
Project Title	Long Term Groundwater Monitoring
Recipient	Oregon Water Resources Department
Principle Investigator (if applicable)	
Total Anticipated Award Amount	\$346,040.00
Cost Share	\$197,724.00
New Award or Continuation?	Continuation
Anticipated Length of Agreement	4 years
Anticipated Period of Performance	Date of Award through September 30, 2014
Award Instrument	Grant
Statutory Authority	Public Law 85-624, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
CFDA Number	15.517
Single Source Justification Criteria Cited	Departmental Manual 505 DM2 Para. 2.14(B)(2) Continuation
Reclamation Point of Contact	Jeff Palachat, spalachat@usbr.gov

OVERVIEW

The Bureau of Reclamation, Klamath Basin Area Office (KBAO), requires accurate monitoring of groundwater levels to provide information on the impact of groundwater pumping activities in the Upper Klamath Basin. This monitoring is necessary to assess and identify the amount of groundwater available for usage to supplement surface water supplies.

RECIPIENT INVOLVEMENT

1. Groundwater level monitoring
 - 1.1. Coordinate with USGS to continue the monitoring and measurement of 60 wells in the Upper Klamath Basin.
2. Monitor streamflow of groundwater dominated stream reaches in the Upper Klamath Basin
 - 2.1. Monitor the streamflow of key stream reaches where substantial groundwater discharge occurs.
3. Ensure that data collected is high quality and is made available to the public
 - 3.1. OWRD will ensure that all data collected are quality assured and entered into a permanent electronic archive that is readily available to the public, preferably over the internet.

SINGLE-SOURCE JUSTIFICATION

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR SINGLE SOURCE POLICY REQUIREMENTS

Department of the Interior Policy (505 DM 2) requires a written justification which explains why competition is not practicable for each single-source award. The justification must address one or more of the following criteria as well as discussion of the program legislative history, unique capabilities of the proposed recipient, and cost-sharing contribution offered by the proposed recipient, as applicable.

In order for an assistance award to be made without competition, the award must satisfy one or more of the following criteria:

- (1) Unsolicited Proposal – The proposed award is the result of an unsolicited assistance application which represents a unique or innovative idea, method, or approach which is not the subject of a current or planned contract or assistance award, but which is deemed advantageous to the program objectives;
- (2) Continuation – The activity to be funded is necessary to the satisfactory completion of, or is a continuation of an activity presently being funded, and for which competition would have a significant adverse effect on the continuity or completion of the activity;
- (3) Legislative intent – The language in the applicable authorizing legislation or legislative history clearly indicates Congress' intent to restrict the award to a particular recipient of purpose;
- (4) Unique Qualifications – The applicant is uniquely qualified to perform the activity based upon a variety of demonstrable factors such as location, property ownership, voluntary support capacity, cost-sharing ability if applicable, technical expertise, or

other such unique qualifications;

- (5) Emergencies – Program/award where there is insufficient time available (due to a compelling and unusual urgency, or substantial danger to health or safety) for adequate competitive procedures to be followed.

Reclamation did not solicit full and open competition for this award based the following criteria:

- (2) Continuation – The activity to be funded is necessary to the satisfactory completion of, or is a continuation of an activity presently being funded, and for which competition would have a significant adverse effect on the continuity or completion of the activity.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY

Public Law 85-624, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act